Coalescence dynamics of a hollow droplet falling in a liquid pool
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The partial coalescence dynamics of a hollow droplet in a liquid pool is numerically investigated. We study the effect of the ratio of the inner to outer radii ($R_r$) of the hollow droplet while maintaining a constant volume. It is observed that for small values of the radius ratio, the coalescence dynamics is similar to that of a ‘filled’ droplet, but the partial coalescence is suppressed for large values of $R_r$. Increasing the value of $R_r$ increases the distance migrated by the inner bubble in the downward direction inside the pool away from the free surface. The location of the bubble after coalescence is found to play an important role in the pinch-off process of the satellite droplet. The influence of the governing dimensionless parameters on the coalescence dynamics has also been investigated.

1. Introduction

Coalescence dynamics of a droplet in a liquid pool has been a fascinating subject of research from many century due to its relevance in natural phenomena (Thomson & Newall 1886; Worthington 1908) and industrial applications (Stone et al. 2004). The subject caught the attention of many researchers recently due to its complex nature and rich underlying physics (Thoroddsen & Takehara 2000; Morton et al. 2000; Chen et al. 2006a; Ray et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015; Deka et al. 2018). Consequently, different regimes from partial/complete coalescence (at low impact velocity) to splashing (at high impact velocity) have been identified. In partial coalescence, a satellite droplet pinches off, which undergoes a cascading process till the satellite droplet is completely merged in the liquid pool.

When a droplet comes in contact with the free-surface of a liquid pool at a low velocity, it floats until the surrounding fluid trapped between the droplet and the free-surface is drained out. After this, the coalescence occurs forming a neck at the contact point of the droplet and the free-surface, which expands rapidly due to high capillary pressure near the contact region. This results in upward moving capillary waves, and thereby forming a liquid column, followed by a necking process near the contact region. The diameter of the neck is reduced with time due to the inward pull of the surface tension and a satellite droplet is detached (Blanchette & Bigioni 2006; Ray et al. 2010). The pinch-off process is influenced by the competition between the vertical and horizontal collapse rates of the liquid column. When the vertical collapse is sufficiently delayed by the upward pull exerted by the capillary waves, the horizontal collapse succeeds in merging the neck.
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and producing a satellite droplet. Charles & Mason (1960) reported that the partial coalescence of a droplet is a consequence of an inviscid instability (Rayleigh 1878) of the liquid column that forms after coalescence. Later on, it was revealed that the dynamics of partial coalescence is primarily governed by gravity, viscosity and interfacial tension (Thoroddsen & Takehara (2000); Chen et al. (2006b)).

Chen et al. (2006b) delineated gravity, inertio-capillary, viscosity dominated regimes for the coalescence of a droplet in a liquid pool. The coalescence phenomenon is driven by gravity at higher values of the Bond number, given by \( Bo = \rho_1 g D^2 / \sigma \), wherein \( D \) denotes the droplet diameter, \( g \) represents the acceleration due to gravity, \( \sigma \) denotes the interfacial tension, and \( \rho_1 \) is the density of the droplet. The viscous effect becomes dominant at lower values of \( Bo \). At intermediate values of \( Bo \), the coalescence phenomenon is driven by inertia and capillary forces. The partial coalescence is primarily observed in the inertio-capillary regime. The effect of the physical properties of the fluids on the coalescence process was reviewed by Kavehpour (2015). All these previous studies considered ‘filled’ droplet.

The aim of the present study is to investigate the coalescence dynamics of a “hollow” or “compound” liquid droplet in a liquid pool of the same liquid. Aston (1972) investigated the dynamics of a gas-filled hollow raindrop in the presence of aerosols. Subsequently, several researchers (Gulyaev et al. 2009; Kumar et al. 2013; Li et al. 2018) have investigated the impact of a hollow droplet on solid surfaces due to its importance in painting, coating and printing technologies. The heat transfer characteristics during the impact of a hollow droplet on a heated surface have also been investigated (Zheng et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018). However, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, the partial coalescence dynamics of a hollow droplet has not been investigated yet, which is the subject of the present study.

We investigate the effect of radius ratio, \( R_r \) (the ratio of the inner radius to the outer radius of the hollow drop) while maintaining a constant volume. The influence of fluid properties on the satellite droplet formation has been studied in terms of the governing dimensionless numbers. The mechanism of the coalescence behaviour has also been addressed. We observed that the location of the inner bubble after the coalescence plays an important role in the pinch-off process of the satellite droplet.

The paper is organized as follows. The problem is formulated in Section 2, wherein the governing equations, numerical method and validation of the present solver are discussed in detail. The results are presented in Section 3 and concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

2. Formulation

The coalescence dynamics of a hollow liquid droplet in a liquid pool is investigated via axisymmetric numerical simulations using the volume of fluid (VoF) method based finite-volume flow solver, Gerris (Popinet 2009). The schematic diagram showing the initial configuration of the droplet is presented in Fig. 1. The droplet and the liquid in the pool are designated by fluid ‘1’, while the surrounding medium is designated by fluid ‘2’. The dynamic viscosity and density of fluid ‘1’ and fluid ‘2’ are \((\mu_1, \rho_1)\) and \((\mu_2, \rho_2)\), respectively. The fluids are assumed to be Newtonian and incompressible.

A cylindrical coordinate system \((r, z)\) is used to model the flow dynamics, such that \( z = 0 \) represents the bottom of the computational domain and \( r = 0 \) is the axis of symmetry. Gravity \((g)\) acts in the negative \( z \) direction. The inner and outer radii of the hollow droplet are \( R_i \) and \( R_o \), respectively. The size of the computational domain is \( 6R_0 \times 12R_0 \), such that the initial height of the liquid pool is \( 6R_0 \). The bottom of the
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Figure 1. Schematic diagram showing the initial configuration of a hollow droplet freely falling in a liquid pool.

The droplet is at 0.02$R_o$ from the free surface of the liquid pool. Only half of the domain is considered as axisymmetric simulations are performed in the present study.

2.1. Governing equations

The continuity and the Navier-Stokes equations govern the flow dynamics, which are given by

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \]  
(2.1)

\[ \rho \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \right] = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \left[ \mu \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T \right) \right] + \sigma \kappa \hat{n} \delta_s (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_f) + \rho g \hat{e}_z, \]  
(2.2)

where $\mathbf{u}(u,v)$ is the velocity field, wherein $u$ and $v$ represent the component of velocity in the radial ($r$) and vertical ($z$) directions, respectively; $\kappa$ is the mean curvature; $\hat{n}$ is the unit normal vector at the interface pointing towards fluid ‘2’; $\hat{e}_z$ is the unit normal vector in the $z$ direction; $\delta_s (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_f)$ is a delta distribution function that is zero everywhere except at the interface, where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_f$; $t$ denotes time.

The following advection equation for the volume fraction, $c$ of fluid ‘1’, whose value can be taken as 1 and 0 for fluid ‘1’ and fluid ‘2’, respectively, is solved in order to track the interface separating the fluids:

\[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c = 0. \]  
(2.3)

The density, $\rho$ and the dynamics viscosity, $\mu$ of the fluids are given by

\[ \rho = \rho_1 c + \rho_2 (1 - c), \]  
(2.4)

\[ \mu = \mu_1 c + \mu_2 (1 - c). \]  
(2.5)

2.2. Boundary conditions

The governing equations (Eqs. (2.1) - (2.3)) are solved using the following boundary conditions. The symmetry boundary condition is used at $r = 0$ and the free slip boundary condition is employed at the side boundary ($r = 6R_0$). No-slip and no-penetration boundary conditions are used at the bottom wall ($z = 0$) and the Neumann boundary condition is used at the top of the computational domain ($z = 12R_0$).
2.3. Non-dimensionalisation

The governing equations and the boundary conditions are non-dimensionalised using the equivalent radius of the hollow droplet, \( R_{eq} \equiv (R_o^3 - R_i^3)^{1/3} \) as the length scale, \( V_s \equiv \sqrt{\sigma/\rho_1 R_{eq}} \) as the velocity scale, and \( t_s \equiv \sqrt{\rho_1 R_{eq}^3/\sigma} \) as the time scale, such that

\[
(z, r) = R_{eq} \tilde{z}, \tilde{r}, \quad (u, v) = V_s \tilde{z}, \tilde{r}, \quad \mu = \mu_2(\tilde{\mu}), \quad \rho = \rho_1(\tilde{\rho}),
\]

\[
P = \sigma/R_{eq}(\tilde{P}), \quad t = t_s(\tilde{t}),
\]

where, tildes designate the dimensionless variables, which are dropped hereafter while presenting the results. The dimensionless numbers used to describe the results are the Bond number, \( Bo \equiv \rho_1 g R_{eq}^2/\sigma \), the Ohnesorge numbers associated with fluid ‘1’ and fluid ‘2’, which are given by \( Oh_1 \equiv \mu_1/\sqrt{\rho_1 \sigma R_{eq}} \) and \( Oh_2 \equiv \mu_2/\sqrt{\rho_1 \sigma R_{eq}} \), respectively, the Atwood number, \( At \equiv (\rho_1 - \rho_2)/(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \), and the radius ratio, \( R_r \equiv R_i/R_o \).

2.4. Numerical method and validation of the solver

A VoF method based open source solver, Gerris (Popinet (2009)) that incorporates a height-function based balanced-force continuum-surface-force formulation for the inclusion of the surface tension term in the Navier-Stokes equations is used. A dynamic adaptive grid refinement is incorporated that provides a large number of grid points/cells in the interfacial regions. The numerical scheme is second order accurate in space and time. Extensive validation exercises of the current numerical methodology have been performed in our previous studies (see for instance, Tripathi et al. (2015)). In addition, we have also validated the present solver by comparing with the experimental result of Blanchette & Bigioni (2006) for a ‘filled’ droplet.

Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the coalescence dynamics of a ‘filled’ ethanol droplet of radius 0.535 mm obtained from the present numerical simulation (top row) with the experiment of Blanchette & Bigioni (2006) (bottom row). The corresponding dimensionless numbers are \( Oh_1 = 0.0109, Oh_2 = 0.000208, At = 0.997 \) and \( Bo = 0.09 \). In the experiment of Blanchette & Bigioni (2006), an ethanol droplet was deposited onto the surface of a pool containing ethanol and the dynamics was captured using a high-speed camera. Initially, the droplet rested on the surface of the liquid pool till the the interstitial air layer drained (see \( t = 0 \) ms). The droplet then starts to drain into the pool (see \( t = 0.53, 1.15 \) and \( 1.4 \) ms). This generates a cylindrical column whose height is higher than that of the initial droplet (\( t = 2.62 \) ms). The neck of this column narrows down due
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Figure 3. Grid convergence test for the coalescence dynamics of an ethanol hollow droplet of radius ratio, \( R_r = 0.5 \): (a) \( \Delta = 0.049 \), (b) \( \Delta = 0.023 \), (c) \( \Delta = 0.012 \) and (d) \( \Delta = 0.006 \). The rest of the parameters are the same as those used to generate Fig. 2.

to the inward pull of the surface tension, and a satellite droplet is pinched off (\( t = 3.57 \) ms). It can be seen in Fig. 2 that the dynamics obtained from our numerical simulation at different times are in excellent agreement with the experiment of Blanchette & Bigioni (2006).

Further a grid convergence test is conducted in Fig. 3 for a hollow ethanol droplet of \( R_r = 0.5 \) coalescing into an ethanol pool. The volume of the hollow ethanol droplet is kept the same as that of the ‘filled’ droplet as considered in Fig. 2. Thus the dimensionless numbers are the same as those used to generate Fig. 2. The simulations are performed using four different meshes using the adaptive mesh refinement feature of Gerris. The smallest (dimensionless) cell size, \( \Delta \) used are 0.049 (Fig. 3(a)), 0.023 (Fig. 3(b)), 0.012 (Fig. 3(c)), and 0.006 (Fig. 3(d)). The corresponding values of \( R_s/R_{eq} \), \( R_s \) being the radius of the satellite droplet, are 0.617, 0.61, 0.607 and 0.606. It can also be seen in Fig. 3 that the coalescence dynamics obtained using different meshes are qualitatively similar. The percentage difference between the values of \( R_s/R_{eq} \) for \( \Delta = 0.012 \) and 0.006 is about 0.13 %. Thus, \( \Delta = 0.012 \) has been used to generate the rest of the results presented in the present study.

3. Results and discussion

We begin the presentation of our results by discussing the mechanism of the coalescence dynamics of a hollow droplet in a liquid pool. It is observed that for small radius ratio, \( R_r \), the coalescence dynamics of the hollow droplet is similar to that of a ‘filled’ droplet, where the primary droplet produces a satellite droplet after pinch off. Such a dynamics is presented in Fig. 2. On the other hand, the partial coalescence phenomenon (i.e. the formation of satellite droplet) is not observed in case of a hollow droplet with large radius ratio, \( R_r \). The coalescence dynamics of hollow droplets with \( R_r = 0.5 \) and \( R_r = 0.7 \) are discussed in Fig. 4.

It can be seen in Fig. 4 that at the early stage (as the droplet comes in contact with the free surface), the curvature near the contact region becomes sharp, which in turn generates large capillary pressure near the contact region leading to the rapid expansion of the neck. The uneven curvature of the coalescing droplet creates a pressure difference between the upper and the lower portions of the bubble (blob of fluid ‘2’ at the interior of the hollow droplet), such that pressure is high above and low below the bubble. As a result, a downward force acts on the bubble and it starts to move in the downward
direction, as shown at $t = 0.25$ in Fig. 4. Due to this movement of the bubble in the downward direction, the neck tends to expand in order to create a passage for the bubble, and the resultant capillary waves propagate in the upward direction along the interface of the coalescing droplet as shown by the arrow makes at $t = 0.25$ and 0.5. When these waves reach the top part of the droplet, an upward thrust is generated at the droplet tip as shown at $t = 0.75$. This force exerted by the capillary waves delays the vertical collapse of the droplet (forming a column of fluid ‘1’), while the bubble continues to move in the downward direction due to the pressure difference at the top and bottom portions of the bubble, and the push induces by the drainage of the droplet liquid. The buoyancy opposes the motion of the bubble of fluid ‘2’ (which is lighter than fluid ‘1’). For the parameter values considered, the abovementioned process is mostly similar till $t = 0.75$ for all values of $R_r$ as shown in the top and bottom rows of Fig. 4. The influence of the propagating capillary waves along the interface was extensively studied by Blanchette & Bigioni (2006); Ding et al. (2012); Thoroddsen et al. (2007); Ray et al. (2010) in case of ‘filled’ droplets.

In case of a hollow droplet with small $R_r$ (i.e. when the inner bubble is small), the pressure difference at the top and bottom portions of the bubble acting in the downward direction dominates the buoyancy force acting in the upward direction. Thus the bubble moves a longer distance in the downward direction as compared to a bigger bubble, i.e. for large $R_r$. It can be seen in Fig. 4 that for $R_r = 0.5$ (top row) the inner bubble moves far below the neck region of the droplet (see at $t = 1$ and 1.25). Then due to the inward pull of the surface tension, the neck of the column narrows down ($t = 1.5$), and a satellite droplet is pinched off ($t = 1.75$).

On the other hand, for $R_r = 0.7$, as the size of the inner bubble is big enough, the buoyancy force that acts in the upward direction can not be dominated by the pressure difference acting in the downward direction. Thus the bubble does not move far away from the neck of the fluid ‘1’ column. Another way to compare the dynamics for the small and large values of $R_r$ is as follows. A big bubble (large $R_r$) spends more energy to expand the neck in order to make its passage as compared to a small bubble (small $R_r$). Due to the competition between the buoyancy and the pressure difference acting in the opposite direction, the bubble deforms significantly, which opposes the narrowing of the neck (see the outward arrows on the bubble surface at $t = 1$ and 1.25 in the bottom row of Fig. 4). As a result, the neck does not become thin enough for the pinch-off to occur like in case of $R_r = 0.5$, and finally expands leading to the complete coalescence of the droplet without forming any satellite droplet.
In order to understand the flow field in the partial coalescence process of a hollow droplet, in Fig. 5, we present the contours of the radial ($u$) and the vertical ($v$) components of the velocity, and pressure field ($P$) for $R_r = 0.5$. It can be seen at the early times ($t \leq 0.5$) in the $P$ field (bottom row) that a pressure-difference is created at the top and bottom of the bubble due to the drainage of the droplet into the liquid pool. This pushed the bubble in the downward direction as evident by the development of the negative $v$ velocity at $t \leq 0.5$ in the middle row of Fig. 5. During this period, the change in curvature and deformation of the bubble to an oblate shape accompanying by the development of the outward $u$ velocity near the neck and non-zero $u$ velocity contours near the interfacial regions can be seen (top row at $t = 0.5$). As the bubble moves downward, away from the neck region, negative $u$ velocity develops near the neck region as evident at $t \geq 1$. The negative radial velocity component becomes sharper at $t = 1.5$ which makes the neck thinner and subsequently leading to the pinch-off of the satellite droplet.

Fig. 6 (a) and (b) present the variations of the ratio between the equivalent radii of the secondary and primary droplets ($R_s/R_{eq}$) and the pinch-off time, $t_p$ with $R_r$. Here, $R_s$ represents the equivalent radius of the satellite droplet. The pinch-off time is defined as the time taken from the starting of the coalescence to the pinch-off of the satellite droplet. It can be observed that $R_s/R_{eq}$ remains nearly constant for all the values of $R_r$. 
considered. The pinch-off time, $t_p$ also remains approximately the same for $R_r \leq 0.4$ (see Fig. 6 (b)), which increases sharply for $R_r > 0.4$. For the parameter values considered, we observe that the partial coalescence is completely suppressed for $R_r > 6$ (approximately), which is termed as the critical radius ratio ($R_{cr}$). The sharp increase in the value of $t_p$ for $0.4 > R_r > 0.6$ can be understood as follows. Near the critical radius ratio, the size of the bubble is enough to create sufficient buoyancy force to oppose its downward motion. This in turn opposes the inward movement of the neck to make it sharper leading to a pinch-off, rather it pushes the interface of the droplet in the outward direction (as also discussed in Fig. 4). This effect is increased at a faster rate with the increase in the value of $R_r$ for $R_r > 0.4$ for this set of parameters. The drainage also slows down due to the presence of the bubble which acts like an obstacle and resists the drainage. As the volume of the hollow droplet is kept constant in the present study, although the drainage time of the liquid droplet increases with an increase in the value of $R_r$, the value of $R_s/R_{eq}$ remains almost constant.

Next, we discuss different types of partial coalescence dynamics observed in case of a hollow droplet. We observed three different types of partial coalescence for different values of $R_r$, namely, (i) pinch off of a satellite droplet without bursting of the bubble, (ii) pinch-off of a satellite droplet with the simultaneous bursting of the bubble, and (iii) pinch-off of a satellite droplet after bursting of the bubble. These processes are demonstrated in Fig. 7 (a), (b) and (c), which are associated with $R_r = 0.55$, 0.56 and 0.58, respectively. The different partial coalescence phenomena observed for different values of $R_r$ can be attributed to the decrease in the penetration depth of the bubble with the increase in the the value of $R_r$, as explained in Fig. 4.

Finally a parametric study is conducted by varying different dimensionless numbers. Fig. 8(a) and (b) presents the variations of $R_s/R_{eq}$ and $t_p$ with the Atwood number, $At$. Increasing $At$, i.e. increasing the density contrast between fluid ‘1’ and fluid ‘2’, increases the drainage rate due to the increase in the effective gravitational force, and increases the tendency of the bubble migration in the downward direction. This in turn speeds up the thinning of the neck and decreases the pinch-off time, $t_p$ of the satellite droplet. It can be argued that although increasing $At$ increases the drainage rate, a decrease in the pinch-off time decreases the total drainage leading to the decrease in the satellite droplet volume as evident in Fig. 8 (a). Also, increasing $At$ increases the penetration distance of the bubble inside the pool, thereby facilitating the inward movement of the neck resulting...
in an easier pinch-off. As a result the critical radius ratio, $R_{cr}$, decreases with increasing $At$, as shown in Fig. 9 for three values of $Bo$. Inspection of Fig. 9 reveals that for a fixed $At$, as expected, increasing $Bo$ (decreasing the surface tension over gravitational force) decreases the value of $R_{cr}$. We observe (not shown) that increasing $Oh_1$ and $Oh_2$ has a negligible effect on the critical radius ratio, $R_{cr}$ for the range of parameters considered.

4. Concluding remarks

The partial coalescence dynamics of a hollow drop in a liquid pool is investigated via axisymmetric numerical simulations using an open source VoF based flow solver, Gerris. The validation of the solver is done by comparing with the experimental result of Blanchette & Bigioni (2006) for a ‘filled’ drop. The effect of the radius ratio ($R_r$) of the hollow droplet while maintaining a constant volume is studied. We observed that for small $R_r$, the partial coalescence dynamics is similar to that of a ‘filled’ drop. However, for the parameters considered, the partial coalescence is suppressed for $R_r > 0.6$ as the inner bubble remains near the free surface and thereby preventing the inward movement of the neck. Three different types of coalescence have been observed for different values of $R_r$, namely, (i) pinch off of a satellite droplet without bursting of the bubble, (ii) pinch-off of a satellite droplet with the simultaneous bursting of the bubble, and (iii) bubble bursting before the pinch-off. A parametric study is conducted by varying other dimensionless numbers, such as the Atwood and the Bond numbers to identify the critical radius ratio, $R_{cr}$, above which partial coalescence is suppressed. The location of the inner bubble in the pool is found to play an important role in the pinch-off process.
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