

No. IITH/40/2020/R/RTI/MS

Dated: 29.06.2021

To,

Sub: Disposal of appeal filed under RTI Act, 2005.

Ref: 1.Your RTI application with Reg. No.IITHY/R/E/21/00153,dated.09.04.2021.

2.Your appeal No. IITHY/A/E/21/00026, Dt.02.06.2021

Dear Sir/ Madam,

I have gone through your appeal with registration No. IITHY/A/E/21/00026 dt.02.06.2021 and the reply furnished by the CPIO with reference to your RTI application cited above. Having examined the matter in detail, I sum up my observations as follows.

4	Information Sought	Information given and details of documents, if any, furnished.
	1)Please provide the information whether the same question and answer were given for first and second sessions for executive assistant exam held on 14/12/2020 of NF-8 recruitment 2020.	As per the available records, different set of question papers were given to each session of the exam.
	2) If same questions and answers(with jumbling) were given, please provide the proper reason for conducting such thing in a competitive exam with in a time gap of 45 min to 1 hour and also please give the reference on which basis you have conducted such exam.	The question is hypothetical in nature. Hence, the same can't be answered.
	3) If different papers were give then, please provide the normalization process you have followed, in regularizing both the papers and provide formula of normalization.	

	4) Answer given question no:3 in general English paper for session two is wrong is chronology. Please confirm the correct answer.	As per the available records, there was no mistake in the Key
	5)How you have made a selection list without validating question paper and key, please provide the proper reason.	The questions are hypothetical in nature. Hence, the same can't be answered.
	6) If such mistakes found in your key paper after declaration of results, what is the step by step procedure followed by IITH.	
	7)If correction key paper ,what is the process in publishing offinal revised selection list.	
	8) Any out of syllabus question in junior assistant question paper held on 15/12/2020 of NF8/2020 NOTIFICATION. if yes please provide the questions.	As per the available records, No question from out of syllabus in the said examination

Grounds of the appeal:

Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information

Appeal Text:

1. 1) Inappropriate information was provided. Please read my question once again.... It is not about sets..i asked whether question and answers were same in both sessions.

2) & 3)Questions are very clear and not in hypothetical in nature,hence please provide the information.

4)False information is provided by CPIO...please recheck your key paper.

5) Misleading the applicant and intentionally hiding the information.

6) misleading the applicant & providing incomplete information or hiding of information

Disposal of Appeal:

Reply:

For Q.No. 3: The list of selected/waitlisted candidates were prepared based on the absolute marks scored by them in the written test.

For Q.No. 4: As per the available records, the given answer is the correct one.

For Q.Nos. 1, 2, 5 and 6:

Only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided. The PIO is not supposed to create information that is not a part of the record. He is also not required to interpret information or furnish replies to hypothetical questions. Hence, the replies provided by the CPIO stand good in this case.

Accordingly, your appeal cited above stands disposed of.

Appeal against the decision of the Appellate Authority lies with the Central Information Commission, Room No.326, 'C' Wing, II Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, NEW DELHI 110 066.

Yours faithfully,



Cmde Manohar Nambiar (Retd), PhD
Registrar & Appellate Authority,
e-mail: registrar@iith.ac.in
040 2301 6055